

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

246

**CWP No. 30417 of 2018
Date of Decision : 4.4.2019**

Simrandeep Singh

.....**Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....**Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present : Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Sandeep S. Deol, DAG, Punjab

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 23.10.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by the District Magistrate, Ludhiana, vide which the request of the petitioner for grant of parole for 6 weeks to meet his family has been declined.

Learned State counsel has filed the reply opposing the prayer for grant of parole to the petitioner but concedes that there is no other case against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the impugned prayer.

It has come out that earlier the petitioner had approached this Court and the Court has directed the respondents to seek fresh report and pass a fresh order. Now it comes out that enquiry has been conducted and statements of Sunder Kapur, Sarwan Singh and Rajvir Singh have been recorded, who

have stated that the petitioner is having dangerous type of conduct and if he comes out on parole then there is danger to public order and peace and there is also risk to the life of the complainant.

I have gone through the impugned order. A perusal of the same shows that as per police report, there is threat to the complainant and witnesses if the petitioner released on parole.

There is no illegality or perversity found in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

4.4.2019

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Reportable	:	Yes/No