

214-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35540 of 2019

Date of decision: October 01, 2019

Parmod

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh Malik-I, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.180 dated 29.05.2019, under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Arya Nagar, District Rohtak.

On 29.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that as per the allegations in the FIR itself, the complainant has purchased the plot in question on 06.03.2018 from one Shashi Bala and she purchased the same on 12.01.2006 from one Balraj. The allegation is that said Balraj has been impersonated by the petitioner in the year 2006.

The allegations are stale and the FIR has been registered on 29.05.2019.

Notice of motion for 01.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Kuldeep, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 01, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No