

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212/A)

CRM-M-35557-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.09.2019

Vikas @ Bobby

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner having been attributed injuries on the head and hand of the complainant, with a '*kirch*', with the injuries stated to be dangerous to life, even though it is argued that no such offence is made out, in my opinion, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, while dismissing this petition, it is however observed that nothing stated hereinafore shall be taken to be an observation on the merits of the case for or against the petitioner, or other co-accused of the petitioner, which would be gone into by the investigating agency itself and the trial court (if it comes to that stage), and even on any application seeking bail in terms of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. being filed (if he is arrested at any stage), it would be decided on its own merits.

13.09.2019

vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: Yes