

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35596 of 2019

Date of decision: October 29, 2019

Satpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. S.S. Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.42 dated 01.07.2019, under Sections 324, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Mehal Kalan, District Barnala.

On 29.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that Section 326 IPC has been added after a period of 18 days and the other offences are bailable. Further contends that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Also contends that no other criminal case is pending against the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 29.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join

the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parminder Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 29, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No