

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4634 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 28.08.2019.

Anoop Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the claim in the writ petition is covered by the decision of Hon'ble the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.11254 of 2010, in case titled Neelam Rani vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 15.05.2013 and that the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as has been made in the writ petition, in accordance with the aforementioned decision.

2. Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as has been made in the writ petition in accordance with law by taking into account the decision of this Court in Neelam Rani's case (supra).

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

28.08.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No