

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201)

CRM-M-35429-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.12.2019

Nitin and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr.Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashik Ali, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

At the outset, Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, reiterates that petitioner No.2 has already been admitted to bail by the trial Court and therefore this petition has been rendered infructuous, which would also seem to be so from the short affidavit filed by the State counsel, of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Head Quarters, Palwal.

Therefore, the petition qua petitioner No.2, Narbir @ Narveer, is ordered to be dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

As regards petitioner No.1, Nitin, learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that he too has joined investigation and presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

It is to be noticed, however, that in the affidavit filed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, it has been stated that the pen drive given to the investigating agency did not contain any material pertaining to the occurrence, and the said pen drive was only containing movies.

Mr. Ashik Ali, Advocate for Mr. S.K. Panwar, learned counsel for the complainant, reiterates what he had submitted as recorded in the orders dated 01.11.2019 and 03.12.2019. However, with the investigating agency itself stating that it does not require the custodial interrogation of even petitioner No.1, this petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous qua petitioner No.2, with the order dated 01.11.2019 admitting petitioner No.1 to interim bail, made absolute in view of the statement made, on the same terms and conditions.

However, it is made clear that nothing observed will be taken to be a comment on the merits of the case, which would be gone into by the trial court after appraisal of the evidence led before it.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

11.12.2019
Satyawan

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No