

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 35627 of 2019
Date of Decision: 06.09.2019

Ramandeep Singh @ Sunny

-Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is the third petition for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.110 dated 29.05.2017 registered under Section 25 (54/59 added later on) of Arms Act and under Sections 18 & 20 (17,19,38 added later on) of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 at Police Station Phase-I, S.A.S. Nagar.

Prayer for regular bail has already been declined on 03.04.2018 and 16.01.2019.

Name of the petitioner came to be disclosed by the co-accused namely Amritpal Kaur @ Amrita and in pursuance thereof, three cartridges were recovered from the petitioner.

There is some discrepancy with regard to recovery of pistol from the petitioner.

Name of Amritpal Kaur @ Amrita was disclosed in the disclosure statement of co-accused namely Gaurav Kumar from whom illegal weapons were used to be purchased by the petitioner and others.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sulekh Chand states that the petitioner was found in contact with Amritpal kaur @ Amrita (main accused) in view of conversation dated 27.02.2017.

In one more FIR No.406 dated 08.11.2014 registered against the petitioner, he has been convicted only for the offences under the Arms Act vide judgment dated 22.02.2019 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda.

Learned State counsel further states that out of 27 prosecution witnesses, 14 witnesses have already been examined and 20.09.2019 is the date fixed for remaining prosecution witnesses.

Two of the co-accused namely Mohkam Singh was found to be a juvenile and Tarsem Singh @ Simmi was granted bail as nothing incriminatory was recovered from him.

At this stage, without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the trial Court to expedite the trial and try to conclude the same within a reasonable time by giving short adjournments.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

Disposed of.

06.09.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No