

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23459 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.30.08.2019

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 22.04.2019 (Annexure P-9) within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 22.04.2019 (Annexure P-9) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner from running pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

August 30, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned No

Whether reportable No