

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-1805-2015 (O&M)
Decided on : 13.11.2019**

Reema

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Padam Singh and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

PRESENT: Mr. Virat Rana, Advocate for
Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Respondent No.1 in-person.

Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Shelly Arora, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Present appeal is directed against the order of the Guardian Judge at Gurgaon, whereby, application moved by the appellant for custody of the child was dismissed by invoking Order 9 Rule 9 CPC. During the pendency of this appeal, counsel for the appellant made a statement on 25th July, 2016, that he would only insist upon the visitation rights. We have been apprised that the child is now about 12 years old and is living with his paternal grandmother and stepmother at Patiala.

Pursuant to our order dated, 18th September, 2019, respondent No.1 is present in court today. Mr. Kaushal, Sr. counsel for respondents No.2 & 3, informed that he is in ITBP and at present posted at Chhattisgarh. On instructions, he submits that in case the appellant wishes to meet the child, she shall be taken to the office of Secretary, District Legal Services Authority at Patiala, on every third working Saturday in every month, from

11:00 A.M. To 02:00 P.M. to meet the child. He further submits that no hindrance shall be created in this regard. Telephone number of one of the family members of respondent No.1 – Padam Singh, shall be provided to the appellant for the purpose of contacting of respondent No.1 and coordination.

Appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 13, 2019

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*