

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2017.07.18 18:07
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-990-2016

Date of Decision: July 12, 2019

Sajal Gupta and Ors

... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab & Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vinish Singla, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

On conjoint perusal of the pleadings, I am of the view that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by a judgment dated 19.11.2018 rendered by this Court in CWP-15966-2016 (*Urmila Rani vs. State of Punjab and others*), which in turn relied upon a Division Bench judgment rendered in case titled *Harbans Lal vs. The State of Punjab and others, 2012 (3) SCT 362* (Annexure P-4) herein.

On a query posed by the Court that if the petitioners in CWP-15966-2016 had also given an undertaking to opt for New Pension Scheme as in the present case, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had given similar undertaking as in that case. He further submits that even similarly situated employees working in the Municipal Council, Faridkot (respondent No.3) have been given the benefit in view of the judgment of this Court in the case of Harbans Lal (supra).

In the premise the writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment dated 19.11.2018 rendered by this Court in CWP-15966-2016.

July 12, 2019

smriti

(ARUN MONGA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No