

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.29275 of 2018

Date of Decision : 15.01.2019

Ravinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present : Mr.Raghav Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(O)

The petitioner chooses to question a contract in favour of the private respondent granted to him in the year 2016. It has been stated that a writ petition was filed earlier which was dismissed as withdrawn. This ipso facto would not give any cause to condone the lapse. The writ petition was filed in 2018 and, therefore, we are of the opinion that as long as the contract exists, its validity has to be presumed and the petitioner would have to firstly show his locus to question the contract and approach this Court which he has failed to do. Considering that two years have elapsed, we decline interference on the ground of delay and laches.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

15.01.2019
dss

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No