

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128)

Crl. Misc. No.M-35369 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:23.09.2019

Pinki

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In respect of her grievance, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation to the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, other than the Commissioner of Police and the Director General of Police, Haryana, on 26.07.2019, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police/Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, to hear the petitioner and ensure that action as per law is taken as regards FIR no.115 dated 11.06.2019, (alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 148, 149, 307, 427, 452 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is made absolutely clear that this Court has not expressed any

opinion whatsoever either on the merits of the FIR, or on the contents of the representation, which would naturally be subject matter of investigation, based upon which the final report would be submitted to the competent court, wholly as per the investigation conducted.

23.09.2019

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No