

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35440-2019

Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Thomas Masih

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, A.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It being 04:00 p.m., none is present for the petitioner, with learned counsel for the State on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submitting that the petitioner is one of those who was actually found to have taken the money from the complainant.

Adjourned to 27.09.2019.

The SSP, Police District Batala, is directed to get the affidavit of a gazetted officer filed with regard to the investigation carried out, including the involvement of any Bilal Ahmed, as has been stated in the petition.

It is made clear that there is no interim order operating in favour of the petitioner.

A copy of this order be given to learned counsel for the State under the signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

XXXX

XXXX

XXXX

XXXX

XXXX

XXXX

The aforesaid part of the order having been recorded, Mr. Ritesh

Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner, appears and submits that as a matter of fact the matter having been compromised with the complainant, he does not wish to pursue this petition.

Without making any comment on the factum of any compromise having been reached or not, since the petitioner does not wish to pursue this petition, by which he had sought anticipatory bail, it is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

September 11, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.