

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(228)

CWP-9746-2016

Date of Decision: August 26, 2019

Bharat Malik

.. Petitioner

Versus

Hy. Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd. & ors.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana, with
Ms. Surbhi Kaushik, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, the prayer of the petitioner as made in the present writ petition i.e. grant of promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer was effected vide order dated 13.02.2017 (Annexure R-3) but the said benefit of promotion was withdrawn by the respondents vide order dated 22.03.2017 (Annexure R-5) and petitioner filed a separate writ petition being CWP No.6346 of 2017 challenging the said order Annexure R-5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the said writ petition was disposed of on 14.11.2018 by this Court and keeping in view the proceedings subsequent to the filing of the present writ petition as recorded above, present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Learned Advocate General, Haryana appearing on behalf of the respondents does not have any objection for disposing of the present writ petition having been rendered infructuous.

Keeping in view the request made by counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

August 26, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No