

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.29179 of 2018

Date of decision:-14.01.2019

Chinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Chandna, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length and the pleadings on record have been perused.

The short prayer raised in the instant petition is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the official respondents under the State of Punjab to get an enquiry conducted into the conduct of private respondent No.7 who is stated to be serving under the Punjab Police as an Assistant Sub Inspector.

It has been averred that the petitioner is rustic villager and had come in contact with private respondent No.7. Petitioner was induced to take a loan from respondent No.7 and at that point of time, signatures of the petitioner had been obtained on certain blank papers/documents. It is alleged that respondent No.7 has misused such blank documents and thereby has set-up fabricated and forged agreements to sell pertaining to property of the petitioner.

There are further allegations raised by the petitioner as regards misuse of authority at the hands of respondent No.7 and having even

violated the service rules/conduct rules and having left headquarters without seeking permission from the superior officers.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length, this Court is of the considered view that no warrant for interference is made out.

Concededly, respondent No.7 on the strength of certain documents has initiated civil proceedings/litigation against the petitioner. Under such circumstances, it would be open for the petitioner to set-up his defence in such proceedings in accordance with law.

This Court in exercise of its extraordinary writ jurisdiction would not embark into a roving enquiry into the matter.

Instant writ petition is wholly misconceived and is dismissed as such.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

14.01.2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No