

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35590 of 2019

Date of decision: October 29, 2019

Ajay Huria

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.1292 dated 17.09.2018, under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

Mr. H.P.S. Kochhar, Advocate appears, files Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent No.2 and the same is taken on record.

On 29.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that petitioner is ready to join the proceedings before the learned trial Court.

Notice of motion for 29.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join

the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 29, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No