

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22869 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.28.08.2019

SI Jagdish Parsad and others

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without show cause notice pay of the petitioner has been re-fixed vide impugned order (Annexure P-4), resulting into deduction. However, while going through Annexure P-4 refixing of pay with a margin of Rs.100/-, reasonings are not forthcoming.

I am of the view that it is fit case where Department may be represented by petitioners to express their grievance and assign reasoning and if they feel aggrieved with same, approach this Court for redressal of their grievance.

At this stage, learned counsel for petitioners seeks liberty to approach respondents by filing representation. In case such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, respondents shall decide the same within a period of two months after affording opportunity of personal hearing to all petitioners and pass a speaking order thereon. In case representation is not decided as directed by this Court, there shall be imposition of costs of ₹25,000/- to be paid to the petitioners from personal account of officer/official found responsible for not deciding the same. This

condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court. In case representation is submitted within two weeks, Department without deciding representation will not cause further reduction.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 28, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No