

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23042 of 2019

Date of decision: - 28.08.2019

Sube Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

As per the averments made in the present writ petition, petitioner was senior to one Sh. Bhoop Singh as he was appointed on 01.03.1994, whereas Sh. Bhoop Singh was appointed on 01.03.1996. Initially, the services of the petitioner as well as Sh. Bhoop Singh were regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011 but subsequently, keeping in view the order passed by this Court in CWP No. 3436 of 2002, the services of Sh. Bhoop Singh had been regularized w.e.f. 21.03.2002, which benefit has not been extended to the petitioner.

The claim of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant the same benefit of regularization of service of the petitioner w.e.f. 21.03.2002, which has been extended to Sh. Bhoop Singh on the ground that the petitioner is senior to Sh. Bhoop Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has served respondents with a legal notice on 25.03.2019 (Annexure P-4), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 25.03.2019 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

August 28, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*