

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22994 of 2019

Date of Decision : 30.08.2019

Ishwar Singh Nain

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

....

Present : Mr.J.S.Bedi, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.R.Kartikeya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Sabherwal, Addl.AG, Haryana with
Mr.Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Mr.Sabherwal has apprised the Court that there are five FIRs containing allegations relating to M/s Sandhu Security Services and of which the petitioner herein had remained a partner from 05.07.2008 to 20.02.2010. He further submits that out of these FIRs, notices have been sent to the petitioner in four FIRs but yet the petitioner has not joined investigation till date.

Learned senior counsel representing the petitioner would submit that in so far as the five FIRs are concerned, the details of which are as follows, he would not be pressing the instant writ petition and would avail of his remedy in accordance with law:-

Sr. No.	Case FIR No.date, us & PS
1.	Case FIR No.573 dated 10.12.2015, U/s 406/409 IPC, PS Mujesar, Distt.Faridabad.
2.	Case FIR No.17 dated 07.01.2015, U/s 406/420 IPC, PS Civil Lines, Gurugram.
3.	Case FIR No.377 dated 30.07.2014, U/s 406 IPC, PS Camp Palwal.
4.	Case FIR No.524 dated 25.08.2010, U/s 408/420 IPC, PS City Bhiwani.
5.	Case FIR No.436 dated 26.11.2014, U/s 406/420 IPC, PS City Dadri.

Mr.Sabherwal submits that in so far as any other FIR/complaint against M/s Sandhu Security Services is concerned, the petitioner would be given a week's advance notice prior to his arrest.

Statement made by Mr.Sabherwal is accepted.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be passed.

Petition is disposed of.

30.08.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No