

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.22983 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.09.2019**

Manav Institute of Education

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ch. Ranbir Singh University and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present:- Mr. Parveen Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

None for respondent No.3.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent-University to include the name of the petitioner-institute in the list of colleges for admissions to B.Ed. Course for the academic year 2019-20 and for permitting the petitioner-Institute to make admissions for the current session. Prayer is also for the issuance of a writ of Certiorari for quashing of inspection report Annexure P-6 dated 12.06.2019.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner-Institute was granted recognition by NCTE for running B.Ed. college and for conducting B.Ed. course on 20.09.2007 and said recognition is in force till date and has not been revoked. However, the respondent-University conducted an inspection on 28.05.2019 with only one day's prior intimation.

Thereafter, without supplying a copy of the inspection report, the respondent

University excluded the name of the petitioner-Institute from list of Colleges/Institutes eligible to participate in counselling for admission to the B.Ed. course for the academic session 2019-20.

3. On follow up by the petitioner, the respondent-University furnished a copy of the inspection report on 05.08.2019 in response to which the petitioner-Institute filed objections with the respondent-University but the same have not been decided till date though the name of the petitioner-Institute has been excluded from the list of Colleges/Institutes eligible to participate in counselling for admission to the B.Ed. course for the academic session 2019-20 on the basis of the inspection report dated 28.05.2019.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the action of the respondent-University in not including the name of the petitioner-Institute in the list of colleges/institutes eligible to participate in counselling for admissions to the B.Ed. course for the academic session 2019-20 without supplying the copy of the inspection report or for that matter giving the petitioner any opportunity to show cause against the findings recorded in the inspection report or the action proposed to be taken for the alleged deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report is illegal, therefore, the writ petition is liable to be allowed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondent-University ought to be directed to decide the objections filed by the petitioner-Institute to the inspection report and that in the circumstances, the petitioner-Institute would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent-University to decide the objections of the petitioner-Institute within a time bound manner in view of admission process/counselling for B.Ed. course for the academic session 2019-20 likely

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-University states that the objections filed by the petitioner to the inspection report dated 28.05.2019 would be decided by the respondent-University within such time as is granted by this Court.

7. Taking into account all aspects of the matter as also the fact that admission process/counselling for B.Ed. course for the academic session 2019-20 is to conclude within the next few days, it is deemed appropriate to direct the respondent-University to take a decision on the objections (Annexure P-7) already submitted by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 5 days from today. Entitlement of the petitioner-Institute to participate in the counselling for admissions to B.Ed. course for the academic session 2019-20 would be subject to the outcome of the decision to be taken by the respondent-University on the objections (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner.

8. Writ petition disposed of in aforementioned terms.

9. Copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of Bench Secretary.

25.09.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No