

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

327

CRM-M-35283-2019

Date of decision : 26.11.2019

Satinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. J.S. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition seeking quashing of FIR No.31 dated 20.03.2019, under Sections 307, 452, 323, 429, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Section 11(1) of Prevention of Cruelty to the Animals Act, 1960, lateron Sections 307, 452, 429 IPC and Section 11(1) of the Prevention of Cruelty to the Animals Act were deleted, registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib, on the basis of compromise dated 02.06.2019 arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is an outcome of a sudden quarrel between neighbours. He also contends that initially, offence under Section 307 IPC was invoked but later on it was deleted as only simple injuries were caused in the incident. He further contends that with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise which is at Annexure P-3.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 14.10.2019 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib dated 15.11.2019 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. The FIR is an outcome of a sudden quarrel between the residents of the same village which has now been resolved with the intervention of the respectables and the matter has been compromised. It would, thus, be in the interest of justice to quash the FIR No.31 dated 20.03.2019, under Sections 307, 452, 323, 429, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Section 11(1) of Prevention of Cruelty to the Animals Act, 1960, lateron Sections 307, 452, 429 IPC and Section 11(1) of the Prevention of Cruelty to the Animals Act were deleted, registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib and all consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 26, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No