

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-3410-2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:04.04.2019**

P.D. Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. D. Khanna, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sangram Singh Saron, Advocate for  
the applicant in CM-8314-2017.

...

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)**

**CM-8314-CWP-2017:**

Instant application has been preferred under Order 1 Rule10  
CPC seeking impleadment of the applicant as a party respondent.

Notice in the application was issued on 31.07.2017. No reply to  
the application has been filed till date.

In view of the averments made in the application, prayer is  
allowed. The applicant would stand impleaded as party respondent No.3 in  
the main writ petition.

The accompanying amended Memo of Parties is also taken on  
record.

Application is disposed of.

**Main case:**

As per pleadings on record, petitioner is the complainant in FIR  
No.331 of 2016 dated 22.06.2016, under Sections 420/120-B IPC, registered

at Police Station Bilaspur, Gurgaon, Haryana.

Instant writ petition was filed seeking a mandamus to direct the official respondents under the State of Haryana to proceed further with FIR No.331 of 2016 and to carry out a proper and fair investigation in the matter. Alternate prayer raised was to appoint a Special Investigation Team headed by a retired Judge of this Court and consisting of senior police officials to monitor the investigation.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Dharambir apprises the Court that the investigation in the matter already stands completed and the challan has been presented on 21.12.2018.

On the last date of hearing i.e. on 26.02.2019, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. The matter had been deferred to today but it had been made clear that in case counsel for the petitioner does not appear on the next date of hearing, the petition would be dismissed.

Completion of investigation in the case and presentation of challan perhaps explains non-appearance of counsel for the petitioner even today.

Writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**04.04.2019***harjeet***(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |