

**CRM-M-35347-2019 (O&M)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-35347-2019 (O&M).  
Decided on: August 28, 2019.**

**Baljit Singh**

**.. Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.. Respondents**

**\* \* \***

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

**\* \* \***

**PRESENT Mr.Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.**

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there being no motive attributed at all for the occurrence that has taken place, the story given in the FIR is a concocted one, especially as it has been recorded in a hurried manner.

Learned counsel further submits that, in fact, the petitioner was placed in column No.2 in the report submitted under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. but thereafter, on an application moved under Section 319 Cr.P.C., he has been summoned by the learned trial Court.

Without making any comment on the merits of the aforesaid contention raised, it is seen that in the FIR itself, the role

**CRM-M-35347-2019 (O&M)**

attributed to the petitioner, Baljit Singh, is that he, along with his wife and son, had beaten up the complainant, with the specific role attributed to the petitioner, being that of snatching the earring of the complainant from her ear and thereby causing a tear in her ear.

Looking at the nature of the allegations against the petitioner, without of course making any comment whatever on the correctness of the allegations or otherwise, which would be a matter of evidence before the trial Court, I see no reason to quash the FIR, simply on the ground that there is no motive attributed.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

**August 28, 2019.**  
**raj arora**

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No