

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3327 of 2017 (O&M)
(PIL)
Date of Decision :05.02.2019

Amit Wadhera

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Advocate for Municipal Councils.
Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No.6.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

CM-1730 and 1741-CWP of 2019:

Applications allowed and affidavits are permitted to be taken
on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-PIL-3327-2017

The petitioner, who is a lawyer by profession and is practicing
at District Courts, Fatehabad, has approached this Court in public interest.

Prayer made is for a mandamus to command the respondents-
authorities to resort to necessary measures for saving the lives of general
public from stray cattle/bulls that are openly wandering on the roads of the
city of Fatehabad, National Highway-10, State Highway and other link
roads.

Pursuant to the notices issued, respondents No.2, 4, 6 and 7 had
filed their respective written statements. Even thereafter, the Municipal

Council, Fatehabad, through its Executive Officer, and the Municipal Committee, Ratia, through its Secretary, furnished the status reports from time to time. And, even today, learned counsel for respondent No.6, furnished a fresh status report by way of an affidavit of its Chief Sanitary Inspector, which is taken on record.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties reached a consensus that since in the wake of the averments set out in the status reports that have been filed by the authorities, referred to above, for the present, the petition at hands has served its purpose, and thus, it would be futile to keep it pending any further. Accordingly, it is prayed that the matter be disposed of as such.

In the wake of the above, we consider it appropriate to close the proceedings in the instant Public Interest Litigation and the petition is disposed of accordingly. However, if in future the petitioner encounters any such instances or is aggrieved owing to the inaction or if the authorities failed to perform their statutory functions as regards the concerns raised in this petition, he shall be at liberty to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

05.02.2019

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No