

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1343-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision:02.11.2021

Narinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr.Parvinder Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-36744-2021

This is application for condonation of delay. Since valid and good cause has been made in the afore application, seeking condonation of delay of 87 days in filing of the revision petition.

Therefore, the application is allowed, and, the delay of 87 days is condoned.

CRR-1343-2021

The petitioner-convict has been through, concurrently made verdicts upon him vis-a-vis notice of accusation, drawn under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, hence convicted, and, also consequent therewith sentences became imposed upon him.

Therefore, he has filed the instant revision petition, before this Court. However, during the pendency of the instant revision petition, before this Court, the counsel for the respondent-complainant, made a statement without oath before this Court, stating therein that he has affirmative instructions, to make a statement before this Court, that the entire liabilities of the petitioner, as

arise from dishonor of the Negotiable Instruments concerned, have been liquidated by him, to the respondent-complainant. He has further stated, that this Court, may proceed to allow the instant revision petition, and, acquit the accused-petitioner of the offence concerned. Bearing in mind the afore made statement, without oath before this Court, by the learned counsel for the respondent-complainant, this Court deems it fit to proceed, to order for the compounding of the offence arising out of dishonor of Negotiable Instrument concerned. Moreover, this Court also accept the instant revision petition, and, proceeds to acquit accused.

However, the afore order shall take effect, only upon, the petitioner-convict depositing within three weeks from today 7% of the cheque amount, as the learned counsel for the petitioner, states that the petitioner-convict is indigent, and, hence is precluded to liquidate the entire complement of the ordained penalty arising from any belated attempt to seek compounding of the offences arising out, of dishonor of Negotiable Instrument concerned.

Disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

02.11.2021

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No