

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CWP No.22885 of 2019

Date of Decision : 12.12.2019

Chanchal Devi

....Petitioner

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) dated 12.10.2018, in accordance with law.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was appointed as Clerk through outsourcing basis in the year 2013 and continued working till 2018, whereafter in March, 2018, her services were dispensed with on appointment of a regular Clerk.

[3] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has submitted representation (Annexure P-2) dated 12.10.2018, addressed to respondent No.2, but no decision has been taken in respect thereto, till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2, in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[4] Without commenting upon the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed***

of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) dated 12.10.2018, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

December 12, 2019

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No