

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(257)

CWP-3151-2017

Date of Decision: March 26, 2019

Varsha Grover and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Dhingra, Advocate and
Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondent No.3 filed in the Court today is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they were not being granted the leave encashment by the respondents though, they have retired since long.

Learned counsel for the respondents have brought to the notice of this Court that an order has been passed by the Govt. of Haryana on 14.08.2018 by which it was decided by the Govt. of Haryana to extend the benefit of leave encashment to the employees of the Government Aided Private Colleges at par with counterparts working with the Govt. Colleges. The said benefit is to be given in terms of the calculations of the earned leave w.e.f. 09.04.1987 and instructions issued in this regard by the Govt. of

Haryana from time to time thereafter. As per the said letter, the amount of the leave encashment is to be paid in the ratio of 95% by the Govt. of Haryana and 5% by the concerned college.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the said letter, the claim of the petitioners for the grant of leave encashment stands satisfied and he does not wish to press this writ petition any further.

Counsel for the respondents undertakes that the case of the petitioners will be considered in view of the letter dated 14.08.2018 and an appropriate order in case of the petitioner will be passed for the grant of the benefit of leave encashment.

Let an appropriate order in case of the petitioner be passed within a period of two months from the receipt of copy of this order.

The present writ petition stands disposed of being not pressed as requested by the counsel for the parties.

March 26, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No