

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23096 of 2019
Date of decision: 16.10.2019**

Sayjad and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray that their cases be considered under the ASHIANA scheme for resettlement of economically weaker sections by giving them dwelling units. It is alleged that the respondents are following pick and choose method and are not uniform in applying the scheme thereby depriving the petitioners of the benefit. In this regard, they have made a detailed representation which is annexed as Annexure P-2 with the present petition but no action has been taken thereupon.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the directions are issued to the respondents to take action on the representation.

Accordingly, keeping in view the limited prayer and without commenting on the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to look into the grievance of the petitioners as made in the representation (Annexure P-2) and take a decision thereon in accordance with law and policy by passing a

.....

speaking order as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Ordered accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

16.10.2019
neetu

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	No
Whether Reportable	No