

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3077 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.07.2019.

Sanjay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J (Oral).

1. Civil writ petition has been filed for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing result (Annexure P/5).
2. Brief facts of the case leading to the filing of the writ petition are that the respondent advertised various posts of PGT, HES-II, Group-B in the department of Secondary Education Haryana. Petitioner also submitted the application for the same and underwent the selection process. As per the result initially declared Annexure P/5 dated 10.02.2017, the petitioner was not called for interview on account of not having secured the cut off marks. However, pursuant to orders of this Court dated 13.11.2018 in CWP No.2737 of 2017, answer key of the written examination for the post of PGT (History) rest of Haryana was revised, as a result of which, some more candidates were called for interview including the petitioner who is stated to have secured 106 marks. Thereafter, the petitioner who was

called for interview pursuant to the orders of this Court was provisionally interviewed and his result has been produced in sealed cover before this Court. The same has been opened and on perusal thereof, it reveals that the petitioner has secured 128 marks out of 200 marks as against the cut of 125 marks of BCB category of last candidate selected.

3. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment on merit in accordance with law.

4. Writ petition is disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

03.07.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No