

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34812 of 2019**

**Date of decision: October 22, 2019**

Paramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.86 dated 11.08.2019, under Sections 61, 78 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 and Sections 420, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Banur, District Patiala, Punjab.

On 27.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that petitioner has no concern with the alleged commission of offence and moreover, the recovery of illicit liquor has already been effected from the co-accused.*

*Notice of motion for 21.10.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate*

*bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Chain Sukh, has apprised the Court that in terms of order dated 27.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 27.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 22, 2019**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No