

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28417-2018(O&M)
Date of decision-05.09.2019**

Chander Kumar Mehta

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashwani Antil, Advocate for
Mr. Roopam Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to renew the certificate of Practice of the petitioner as a Notary.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Additional Legal Advisor and Competent Authority, Government of India, Department of Legal Affairs (Notary Cell), New Delhi, to consider and decide the representation dated 29.11.2016 (Annexure P-5) expeditiously.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Additional Legal Advisor and Competent Authority, Government of India, Department of Legal Affairs (Notary Cell), New Delhi, to consider and decide the representation dated 29.11.2016 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

05.09.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----