

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-22801-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.08.2019**

Rajesh Sharma Contractor

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Arvind Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is stated to be a Contractor.

In the year 2016, work for Dismantling Annual Maintenance to Pantoon Bridge over U.B.D.C. At Fareeda Nagar in District Pathankot was allotted to the petitioner.

Short grievance raised in the instant petition is that in spite of the work in question having been executed and completed, a payment of Rs.2,15,000/- approximately has not been released.

Counsel argues that the action of the respondent/authorities is unjust and unfair as the amount in question has been withheld without any justifiable basis.

Further submission is made that a legal notice dated 25.06.2019 (Annexure P-4) has already been submitted before the competent authority and he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition was to be disposed of with a direction to take a final decision thereupon within a stipulated time frame.

Submission made by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

In view of the above and without ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition and without even going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. the Executive Engineer, Construction Division, PWD (B&R), Pathankot and to examine the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of a legal notice dated 25.06.2019 (Annexure P-4) and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this Court.

Needless to observe that in case any outstanding amounts/funds are found due, the necessary funds would be released to the petitioner without any further delay.

It is further clarified that this Court has not examined the claim of the petitioner on merits.

Disposed of.

27.08.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |