

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35537 of 2019

Date of decision: October 29, 2019

Jaspinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Krishan Sehajpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.116 dated 09.07.2019, under Sections 336, 337, 452, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Sections 25, 54 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

On 29.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that this is version and cross-version and the petitioner has almost lost his right hand. Reference in this regard is made to Annexure P-1.

Notice of motion for 29.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him

to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 29, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No