

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

Crl. Misc. No.M-35637 of 2019

Date of Decision: 19.11.2019

Baljit Singh Randhawa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Though learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner had been declared to be a proclaimed offender earlier, therefore he does not deserve the concession of bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was in fact declared a proclaimed offender upon the FIR having been registered (as contended), with the petitioner not even aware of that fact; because, admittedly, he was not caught at the spot, with him not driving the car which is stated to have rammed into the police barrier, and with him also not being the owner of the car; his name allegedly having been disclosed by the co-passenger.

In view of the above, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

19.11.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge
Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No