

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-34795-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 14.10.2019

BABLU MISHRA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Amitabh Tiwari, Advocate for
Mr. Hitesh Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.M. Vohra, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.0719 dated 09.07.2019, under Sections 323, 34, 452 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Gurgaon Sadar, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant and his wife. The petitioner is a relative and only simple injuries are stated to have been caused on the non-vital parts of the complainant.

This Court, by the order dated 27.08.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 27.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

14.10.2019.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No