

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2870 of 2019
Date of Decision: 26.11.2019**

Sudesh Kumar and others

Petitioners

Versus

Anirudh Tewari, IAS, Secretary, Department of Finance, Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Notice of motion.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Sukhjeet Pal Singh, Director of Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab annexing order dated 14.11.2019 filed today in the Court, is taken on record, copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of order passed, learned counsel for the petitioners states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition, however, raises grievance that similar situated employees have been granted benefits. He seeks liberty to avail

remedies in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

November 26, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |