

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 35169 of 2019
Date of Decision:-30.09.2019**

Amarjit Singh alias Pappa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Anil K. Sagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

Petitioner-Amarjit Singh alias Pappa has filed second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 170 dated 10.10.2017, under Section 22 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Kharar, District SAS Nagar.

As per FIR, on 10.10.2017, when the police party was on patrolling and checking in search of bad elements and had raised a nakabandi at Village Majat on the main G.T. Road, at about 2.00 pm from the side of Landran one car bearing registration No.PB-11AY-5778 make Tata Indica was seen coming, which was stopped and driver of the car disclosed his name as Amarjit Singh. On search of car, 138 strips of Lomotil tablets from the rear door of driver side and 62 strips of Lomotil

from left side door and 20 bottles of Codeine Phosphate and Cholorophine Ramine 100 ml were recovered from the dash board.

Earlier the petitioner has moved the petition for grant of anticipatory bail through CRM-M-35886-2018, which was disposed off vide order dated 31.08.2018 with the following observations :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was released on interim bail as FSL report was not received. Subsequently, that has been received and the quantity was found to be commercial in nature. Petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court and moves an application for regular bail within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the trial Court is directed to consider the same and pass order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks thereafter.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that subsequently he was involved in some other case and, therefore, could not surrender within the said period of two weeks. On this sole ground the petitioner has approached this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any reason to extend the concession muchless the direction as prayed by the petitioner for surrendering within the period of two weeks from today. However, it is open for the petitioner to submit himself before

the trial Court and move an appropriate application for grant of bail.

Dismissed.

September 30, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No