

248/9 cases

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 24.09.2019

1. COCP-2877-2019

Shiv Charan

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

2. COCP-2885-2019

Bhagirath

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

3. COCP-2893-2019

Ram Jiwan

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

4. COCP-2894-2019

Roop Chand

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

5. COCP-2895-2019

Mangat Ram

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

6. COCp-2896-2019

Aat Ram

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

7. COCp-2897-2019

Budh Ram

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

8. COCp-2898-2019

Gian Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

9. COCp-2899-2019

Jagnandan Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Er. Baldev Singh Sran and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the respondent-Corporation

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of above said nine contempt petitions.

These contempt petitions have been filed pleading wilful

disobedience of order dated 27.03.2018 passed in bunch of writ petitions.

The writ petitions were allowed in terms of *CWP No. 20139 of 2015* titled as *Chiman Singh Versus Punjab State Power Corporation and others* decided on 29.02.2016.

The respondents preferred LPAs and thereafter SLP, which were dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Sh. Sudeep Sokhal, Senior Executive Engineer, PSPCL, Fazilka states that office order dated 20.09.2019 has been passed and needful shall be done within a period of six weeks from today.

In view of the above, all the petitions are disposed of.

The petitioners would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition, in case, the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents today in Court is not adhered to.

It is further clarified that in case, the petitioners are forced to revive the contempt petition, exemplary costs may be imposed which shall be recovered personally from the defaulting official.

24th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No