

220-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35639 of 2019**

**Date of decision: September 19, 2019**

Sandeep Kumar Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.112 dated 11.05.2019, under Sections 326, 323, 451, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 307 of IPC added later on, but the same has been deleted in the enquiry), registered at Police Station Jamalpur, Police Commissionerate, District Ludhiana.

On 29.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that the matter has been compromised between the parties.*

*Notice of motion for 19.09.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of*

*his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

*To be heard along with CRM M-33504 of 2019.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Amrik Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 19, 2019**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No