

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

106/A

CRM-M-34763 of 2019

Date of decision:27.8.2019

Tony Kumar @ Vicky

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.G.P.S.Pathania, Advocate,  
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though anticipatory bail has been granted to a co-accused of the petitioner today itself, i.e. one Jimmy (in CRM-M-34748 of 2019), however, an overt role having been actually attributed to the petitioner, who along with others is alleged to have attacked the complainant, I see no ground to entertain this petition, despite learned counsel for the petitioner submitting that Sunita, who has also been implicated in the commission of the offence, has been granted bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge himself.

It is to be noticed that the role attributed to Sunita is allegedly that of handing over a weapon to one Gara, who is then alleged to have attacked the complainant with the same.

I see no parity with Sunita either.

Dismissed.

27.8.2019

pk

( AMOL RATTAN SINGH )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No