

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(242)

CWP-29551-2017

Date of Decision: August 29, 2019

Randip Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Yamuna Nagar Central Coop. Bank Ltd. & others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that all the pensionary benefits for which the petitioner became entitled, after he superannuated from service on 30.06.2016, have not been paid.

As no reply was being filed by the respondents despite various opportunities, Chief Executive Office of respondent No-1-Bank was requested to assist this Court.

Today, Mr. Randeep Rana, General Manager of respondent No.1-Bank, is present in the Court and has made a statement that all the dues of the petitioner, have already been released alongwith interest, which were lying in the form of fixed deposit. The documents of the said fixed deposit have already been handed over to the petitioner so as to enable him either to withdraw the amount immediately or on the expiry of the fixed deposit, which is 07.09.2019.

General Manager of respondent No.1-Bank further states that there is no post of Chief Executive Officer henceforth and therefore, he is competent officer.

The said fact of receipt of all the dues is not denied by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above said fact and states that all the benefits except the security amount is lying with the respondents have been released and the direction be issued to the respondents for the release of the same.

General Manager, who is present in the Court, very fairly states that the petitioner can come to the Bank at any given point of time and give an application for the release of the security and the same will be released to the petitioner within a week of the receipt of the said application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement made by General Manager, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and he does not want to press this writ petition any further.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

August 29, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No