

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216)

CRM-M-35950-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2019

Harpreet Singh @ Harry

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate, for the petioerur.
Mr. B.S.Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that pursuant to the order of this court dated September 10, 2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required presently.

That being so, this petition is allowed, with the order dated September 10, 2019, granting interim bail to the petitioner, made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

However, the aforesaid order will not be treated to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, but only in view of the fact that the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Naturally, the complainant would be at liberty to avail of his
remedy as regards any grievance, including the investigation process.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

28.11.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No