

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35872-2019
Date of decision-25.09.2019**

Gurpal Singh Bhullar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Rakesh Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Gurpal Singh Bhullar has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.PC for challenging the Revisional Court order dated 25.07.2019, whereby it has affirmed the order dated 08.03.2019 passed by the trial Court, whereby application under Section 311 Cr.P.C filed by the petitioner (complainant) to examine the handwriting expert, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the signature on the cheque does not belong to him and therefore, examination of the handwriting expert is necessary. He submits that the trial Court has committed a serious error of law in refusing permission to examine the handwriting expert in defence evidence.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the impugned order, this Court finds that the trial Court has examined the issue carefully. It was observed by trial Court that the cheque in question was dishonoured on account of “stop payment”, and the dispute regarding

signatures was being raised by the accused at this stage, and was never raised by him during cross-examination of the complainant. It was also observed that cheque was not dishonoured on the ground of variation in the signatures of the drawer. The order passed by the trial Court was further upheld in the revisional jurisdiction and nothing has been produced before this Court to show that the orders passed by the Courts are against the material on record.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason to invoke inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

25.09.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No