

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(1)

CWP No. 8315 of 2016
Date of Decision : 14.01.2019

Gurmail Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(2)

CWP No. 11478 of 2016

Smt. Balbir Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

By this judgment, two petitions bearing **CWP No. 8315 of 2016** and **CWP No. 11478 of 2016** are being disposed of as common questions of facts are involved therein.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner(s) from the last three hearings.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State states that the relief of dual family pension has already been granted to the petitioner(s) vide order dated 18.01.2017 (Annexure R-1).

As the relief has already been granted to the petitioner(s) and there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner(s), it can be safely presumed that relief has been granted to the petitioner(s). Hence, both the aforementioned writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous.

However, in case there is any grouse still left to be addressed, the petitioner(s) will be at liberty to file representation(s) with the respondents for the same and the respondents shall decide the said representation(s) in case it is filed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the same.

January 14, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*