

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM-M-35939-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 20.09.2019

BHANU PARTAP SAINI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.50, dated 01.06.2019, under Sections 307, 326, 452, 323, 324, 325, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC (Sections 325, 326 & 307 IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station City Division No.2, Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is unexplained delay of eight days in registering the FIR which is outcome of political rivalry between the parties. The petitioner has been attributed injury on the leg of the complainant while the petitioner himself had received an injury on his head.

This Court, by the order dated 30.08.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-

interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Harjinder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 30.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

20.09.2019.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No