

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34478 of 2019

Date of decision: October 03, 2019

Pardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Arti Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.45 dated 11.05.2019, under Sections 452, 307, 324, 506, 427, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Banga.

On 29.08.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner and even the main accused, who allegedly caused injury, has already been granted concession of bail pending trial. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel seeks time to ascertain factum of injury regarding Section 307 of Indian Penal Code, 1860.

Adjourned to 03.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim

bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Despal Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 03, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No