

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27533 of 2018
Date of Decision : 18.01.2019

Santosh Balhara

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Notice to respondents could not be issued as process fee was not filed by the counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has filed a representation dated 05.07.2018 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents. He further states that the case is covered in his favour vide judgment of this Court passed in ***CWP No. 9347 of 2016***, decided on 20.02.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representation by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass appropriate speaking

order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 05.07.2018 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to her within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

January 18, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*