

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 22588 of 2019

Date of Decision:26.08.2019

Mamta and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. P.P.S. Tung, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate for respondents
No.4 to 6.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioners seek a mandamus for directing the official respondents to take necessary steps for protection of their life and liberty.

Counsel would submit that both the petitioners have attained the age of majority. They have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their parents/close relatives and under such circumstances they feel threatened.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and waives service.

Mr. Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate, who is present in Court, has entered appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6. A complete copy of the writ paper-book already stands furnished to counsels opposite.

Statement of Mr. Gourave Bhayya Gilhotra, Advocate, is recorded to the effect that the parents and close relatives of petitioner No.1 namely Mamta, are not opposed to the matrimonial alliance and the apprehension voiced in the petition is totally unfounded.

In the light of such statement recorded, no directions are required to be passed.

Writ petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

August 26, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No