

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29230 of 2017

Date of decision: 24.10.2019

Randhir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State Counsel has produced OMR answer sheet of the petitioner which reveals eraser against answer to questions at Sr. No.63, 64 and 65 besides smudging against answer to questions at Sr. Nos.41 and 42.

2. Learned Sr. DAG by relying on the dismissal of CWP No.26745 of 2017 and other connected cases in view of answers in OMR sheets of candidates therein having been erased/alterd, contends that in the circumstances the writ petition is also liable to be dismissed in view of the eraser against answer to question at Sr. No.63, 64 and 65 and smudging against answer to question at Sr. No.41 and 42.

3. Despite the case having been called out twice, none is present on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the writ petition.

4. Dismissed for want of prosecution
5. The original OMR answer sheet is returned to learned Sr.
Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, after sealing.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

24.10.2019
rajneesh/rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.