

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-10013-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.09.2019

Rajesh Mendiratta

..... Appellant

Versus

Ved Parkash and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. JS Rozera, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate for
Mr. AS Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No. 3-Insurance Company.

RAMENDRA JAIN, (ORAL)

This appeal is liable to be dismissed, in view of office report that notice could not be issued again to respondent No. 1 for want of his correct address.

Perusal of file shows that this appeal is pending for awaiting service of respondent No. 1, since last more than one year, despite affording opportunities on two occasions i.e. on 07.09.2018 and 08.03.2019, to learned counsel for the appellant to take effective steps to serve respondent No. 1.

In view of the above, further request for grant of another opportunity to learned counsel for the appellant to effect service upon respondent No. 1 is not liable to be entertained.

The instant appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

September 12, 2019

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No